$\Delta E_{12}^{\infty}=\Delta E_{12}^{\circ}+0.09182\left(\frac{\exp \left(-\kappa R_{2}\right)}{1+\kappa R_{1}}+\frac{\exp \left(-\kappa R_{1}\right)}{1+\kappa R_{2}}\right)$

$$
\times\left(\frac{z_{1} Z_{2}-Z_{1}^{\prime} Z_{2}^{\prime}}{R_{1}+R_{2}}\right)
$$

(20) (a) Marcus, R. A.; Sutin, N. Inorg. Chem. 1975, 14, 213 . (b) Marcus, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. 1963, 67, 853 .
(21) For nonadiabatic electron transfer reactions the Marcus relationship (neglecting $f$ ) is

$$
\frac{k_{12}}{p_{12}}=\left(\frac{k_{11}}{p_{11}} \frac{k_{22}}{p_{22}} K_{12}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

This general expression reduces to eq 5 if the reactions in question are "uniformly nonadiabatic"' $\left(p_{12}{ }^{2}=p_{11} p_{22}\right)$ (Sutin, N. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 225).
(22) In terms of the Hopfield formalism, use of the protein self-exchange rate constant fulfills the assumption of equivalent sites. Furthermore, because this stratagem removes dependence of the rate on the kinetic properties of the inorganic complex, it eliminates the uncertainty in the values of the parameters ${ }^{10}$ that describe the small molecule site characteristics.
(23) Sailasuta, N.; Anson, F. C.; Gray, H. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 455.
(24) The selection of $3.7 \AA$ as the "closest contact' distance assumes that stellacyanin employs a self-exchange mechanism involving electron transfer through an imidazole ring of a histidine ligand. Alternatively, the protein may employ a mechanism involving a sulfur ligand (as is likely the case for the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ proteins considered). In either case, the 'closest contact'' distance would be the same, since the van der Waals radius for $S$ is also $1.85 \AA$. Thus, the calibration based on a $3.7-\AA$ "closest contact" distance should be adequate for all reactions in which redox site contact occurs between aromatic carbon atoms (imidazole edges, heme edges) or sulfur atoms. The relevant structural data on cytochrome $c^{15,17}$ and HiPIP ${ }^{15,18}$ have been discussed previously. The available structural information on the blue copper centers in plastocyanin, azurin, and stellacyanin has been reviewed recently (Gray, H. B. Adv. Inorg. Biochem. 1979, 2, 1).
(25) Put another way, we assume that the rate constants depend on the 'nonadiabatic distance" of electron transfer as follows:

$$
k_{12}=k_{12}^{\circ}\left[\exp \left(-2 a R_{1}\right)\right]\left[\exp \left(-2 a R_{2}\right)\right]
$$

where $k_{12}^{0}$ is the rate constant that would be observed if the reaction were adiabatic ( $R_{1}, R_{2}=0$ ) and $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the 'nonadiabatic distances" for reactants 1 and 2 , respectively. In our analysis $2 R_{p}=3.7 \AA$ for $R_{1}=0$.
(26) Even though the apoprotein does not impose any protein-reagent interactions on the kinetics of the blue copper center in stellacyanin, it does limit the surface area of the copper center that is available for reaction. This statistical factor is a major determinant of the absolute magnitude of $k_{11}^{\infty}$. Sutin has treated this effect in detail for cytochrome $c$ by showing that the self-exchange rate constant for the protein ( $\sim 10^{3} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) compared to that for $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{phen})_{3}{ }^{3+}\left(\sim 10^{7} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ is consistent with crystallographic data showing that about $3 \%$ of the porphyrin surface is available for electron transfer (N. Sutin, 'Bioinorganic Chemistry-ll', Adv. Chem. Ser. 1977, No. 162, 156). A similar comparison of the self-exchange rate constant for stellacyanin $\left(\sim 10^{5} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ with that for $\mathrm{Fe}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{3+}\left(\sim 10^{7}\right.$ $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) would suggest that roughly $10 \%$ of the copper center "surface" is available for electron transfer in this protein. The use of a single value of $C$ for all calculations based on eq 3 implies that the same statistical factor holds for all the proteins considered. This assumption should be nearly valid for cases involving hydrophilic (nonpenetrating) reagents. However, the potential ability for penetrating (hydrophobic) reagents to sample a larger relative "surface area" of a "buried" site may contribute to a larger uncertainty in the $R$ values calculated for proteins reacting with these reagents. The penetration of such reagents may also contribute to changes in the Franck-Condon factors (which are implicitly included in the constant $C$ ) and this would also introduce error in the calculated $R$ values.
(27) Sutin, N. 'Inorganic Biochemistry', Eichhorn, G. I., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1973; p 611.
(28) The possible mechanisms that give rise to saturation behavior have been enumerated (Yoneda, G. S.; Holwerda, R. A. Bioinorg. Chem. 1978, 8, 139): (a) precursor complex formation, (b) rate-limiting formation of an activated form of the protein, and (c) dead-end complex formation.
(29) This value of the formation constant was calculated by extrapolation (linear in $\mu^{1 / 2}$ ) of the ionic strength dependent values given by Miller and Cusanovich (Miller, W. G.; Cusanovich, M. A. Biophys. Struct. Mech. 1975, 1, 97).
(30) Stellwagen, E.; Cass, R. D. J. Biol. Chem. 1975, 250, 2095.
(31) Potasek, M. J.; Hopfield, J. J. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1977, 74, 3817.
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#### Abstract

 $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(1)$, react with phosphites, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{5}\right)_{3}(2)$, yielding in successive steps ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl) (phosphite)cobaltacyclopentadiene complexes, $\left(\mathrm{CoCR}^{1}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CR}^{3}=\mathrm{CR}^{4}\right)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{5}\right)_{3}\right]$ (3) and isomeric 1-alkoxyphosphole oxide complexes (4). The structure of one isomer of the 1 -methoxyphosphole oxide complex, $\mathbf{4 c a - 2}$, was determined by an X-ray crystallographic structural analysis. The complexes 4 , when oxidized by $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ ions, give 1 -alkoxyphosphole oxides. Kinetic studies on the formation of $\mathbf{3}$ and of the conversion of $\mathbf{3}$ to $\mathbf{4}$ have been carried out and a first-order reaction has been verified in the complex concentration for both steps. Activation parameters for the reaction of $\mathbf{1 c}\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}, \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ with $\mathbf{2 a}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{5}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ are detcrmined as $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=31 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=4$ eu for the substitution, and as $\Delta H^{\ddagger}=22 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger}=-4 \mathrm{eu}$ for the conversion step, respectively. The preparative and kinetic investigations show that (1) both steric and electronic factors of the substituents of the cobalt metallacycles govern the substitution step, and (2) the electronic factor chiefly governs the conversion step. The steric effect of the alkyl groups of $\mathbf{2}$ is very distinctive in the conversion step.


## I. Introduction

Cobaltacyclopentadienes have been considered to be key intermediates in the cobalt-catalyzed reactions of acetylenes. ${ }^{2-4}$ Studies on the reactions of the stable ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylphosphine) cobaltacyclopentadiene complexes, $\left(\mathrm{CoCR}^{1}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CR}^{3}=\mathrm{CR}^{4}\right)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(1)$, with various unsaturated organic reagents $L$ such as acetylenes, ${ }^{5.6}$ olefins, ${ }^{7}$ or compounds having a hetero multiple bond ${ }^{8-10}$ have contributed to the understanding of the cobalt catalysis. In the catalytic process, it is believed that the $L$ should first ligate on the cobalt by displacing the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ligand to give an intermediate

3 (Scheme 1). Then the intermediate 3 may be converted to a final product via insertion of $L$ into the cobalt metallacycle. However, when L is an acetylene, another path involving a coordinated Diels-Alder type addition reaction cannot be excluded.
The production of $\mathbf{3}$ as an intermediate has been postulated for most of these systems and recently the first step of the reaction, substitution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ with L , has been proven to be dissociation controlled in the reaction of $1\left(R^{1}, R^{2}, R^{3}, R^{4}=\right.$ Me) with 2-butyne. ${ }^{11}$ However, little is known about the reactivities of the cobalt metallacycles toward the reagent $L$ or about the factors governing the reactions, particularily with

Table I. (Phosphite)cobaltacyclopentadiene Complexes (3), ( $\left.\widetilde{\mathrm{CoCR}}^{1}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CR}^{3}=\mathrm{CR}^{4}\right)\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{5}\right)_{3}\right]$

| compd | ${ }_{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{mp}}$ | anal. found (calcd), \% |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}\left(J_{\mathrm{PH}}, \mathrm{Hz}\right)^{a}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H | $\overline{\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}}$ | $\mathrm{POCH}_{n}$ | other aliphatic protons |
| 3ba | 152-154 | 68.76 (68.63) | 6.07 (5.95) | $4.45(\mathrm{~s})^{\text {c }}$ | 3.63 (d, 11.2) | 1.59 (CMe, d, 1.6) |
| 3ca | 189-190 | 71.55 (71.52) | 5.91 (5.67) | 4.67 (s) | 3.60 (d, 10.6) |  |
| 3 cb | 182-184 | 72.44 (72.44) | 6.27 (6.24) | 4.66 (s) | $3.92\left(\mathrm{~d} \text { of q, 7.0, } J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right)^{d}$ | $1.25\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right)$ |
| 3 cc | 169-172 | 73.43 (73.24) | 6.72 (6.77) | 4.68 (s) | 4.56 (m, bd) | $1.20\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.0\right)$ |
| 3cd | 202-204 | 74.21 (73.77) | $5.72(5.59)^{b}$ | 4.56 (s) | 4.25 (d, 5.0) | 0.81 (Me, s) |
| 3 ce | 162-165 | 72.13 (72.07) | 5.64 (5.56) | 4.58 (s) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.66\left(\mathrm{OMe}^{3} \mathrm{~d}, 10.8\right), 3.8-4.8 \\ & \left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~m}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1.5-2.5 ( $\left.\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{bd}\right)$ |
| 3 cg | oil | 75.89 (75.69) | 5.79 (5.58) | 4.89 (s) | 3.47 (d, 9.8) |  |
| 3ch | 167-170 | 79.17 (79.07) | 5.24 (5.20) | 4.58 (s) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |
| 3fa | 131-132 | 61.99 (61.84) | 5.78 (5.76) | 4.46 (s) | 3.59 (d, 10.8) | $\begin{aligned} & 1.84(\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{~d}, 1.4), 3.51 \\ & \left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{~s}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| 3ga | 199-201 | 65.66 (65.53) | 5.51 (5.50) | $4.59(\mathrm{~s})^{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3.62 (d, 11.0) | $3.11\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 3ha | 185-187 | 59.70 (59.17) | 5.44 (5.31) | 4.96 (s) | 3.64 (d, 10.8) | $3.38\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 3hd | 237-238 | 61.01 (60.82) | 5.14 (5.10) | 4.49 (s) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.27 (d, 5.4) | $0.81(\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{s}), 3.34\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 3hh | 214-216 | 64.99 (64.50) | 5.38 (5.25) | 4.38 (s) | 3.47 (d, 10.0) | $3.02\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 3 ia | 139-140 | 59.40 (59.17) | 5.38 (5.31) | 4.79 (s) | 3.70 (d, 10.8) | $3.20,3.42\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |

${ }^{a}$ Shifts in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ relative to internal $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$. Phenyl signals are omitted. ${ }^{b}$ Solvated with $1 / 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. ${ }^{c}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. ${ }^{d} \mathrm{~s}=$ singlet, d $=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, bd $=$ broad.

respect to the second conversion step. This might be attributed mainly to the lack of isolation of spectral detection of the intermediates 3 so far.

We have found that the reaction of 1 with phosphites 2 gives ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)(1-alkoxyphosphole oxide)cobalt complexes $\mathbf{4}$ via phosphite-substituted cobaltacyclopentadienes 3. The isolation of $\mathbf{3}$ has enabled us to carry out the kinetic studies of the substitution and the conversion steps independently by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The reaction mechanism and factors governing each individual step are discussed from the preparative and the kinetic point of view.

## II. Results

1. Preparative Investigations. (a) Formation of ( $\eta^{5}$-Cyclopentadienyl)(phosphite)cobaltacyclopentadienes 3 and ( $\eta^{5}$ -Cyclopentadienyl)(1-alkoxyphosphole oxide) Cobalt Complexes 4. The reaction of ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)(triphenylphosphine) cobaltacyclopentadienes 1 with phosphites 2 gives 4 through formation of 3 according to eq 1 and 2 .

The reaction is classified into three categories depending on the substituents in $\mathbf{1}$ and on the nature of $\mathbf{2}$ : (1) systems where $\mathbf{3}$ is not observed in the $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ reaction (1a or $\mathbf{1 d}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$, $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $\mathbf{2 e}$, and $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $\mathbf{2 g}$ ); (2) a system where $\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{2}$ gives 3 ; however, $\mathbf{3}$ cannot give $\mathbf{4}$ (the reaction system with 2 c ); and (3) systems where $1+2$ give in stepwise fashion 3 and 4 which were isolated or observed (most reaction systems tried fell into

1

|  | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 a | Ph | Me | Me | Ph |
| b | Ph | Me | Ph | Ph |
| c | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |
| d | Me | Me | Me | Me |
| e | Me | Me | Ph | Ph |
| f | Ph | Me | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Ph |
| g | Ph | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Ph |
| h | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Ph |
| i | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} +\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OR}^{5}\right. \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  | 2a $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$ |  |  | f $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})$ |
|  | b $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ |  |  | g $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OPh})_{3}$ |
|  | c $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OPr}^{i}\right)_{3}$ |  |  | h $\mathrm{PPh}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$ |
|  | d $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CCH}_{3}$ |  |  | i $\mathrm{PPh}(\mathrm{OPh})_{2}$ |
|  | e ${\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)(\mathrm{OMe})}^{(\mathrm{O}}$ |  |  |  |
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this category). The systems give 3 under mild reaction conditions followed by a conversion of the isolated 3 to $\mathbf{4}$ under more vigorous reaction conditions in the presence of excess 2 . The complexes 3 and 4 thus obtained are shown in Tables $1^{12}$ and 11, respectively.

All phosphole oxide complexes 4 from 1a-f were found, by

Table II. Physical Properties and Analytical Data of 1-Alkoxyphosphole Oxide Complexes (4). $\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left[\mathrm{R}^{5} \mathrm{OP}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CR}^{1}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CR}^{3}=\mathrm{CR}^{4}\right]$

| compd | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{mp}, \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | anal. found (calcd), \% |  | $\begin{gathered} 1 \mathrm{R},{ }^{a} \nu_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{O}, \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\delta, \operatorname{ppm}\left(J_{\mathrm{PH}}, \mathrm{Hz}\right)^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C | H |  | $\eta^{5} \mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{POCH}_{n}$ | other aliphatic protons |
| 4aa-1 | 242-243 | 66.28 (66.37) | 5.62 (5.57) | 1180 | 4.66 (s) 3.74 (d, 10.8) | 2.26 (CMe, s, bd) |
| 4aa-2 | 231-232 | 66.57 (66.37) | 5.62 (5.57) | 1213 | 4.72 (s) 3.20 (d, 10.8) | 2.38 (CMe, s, bd) |
| $4 \mathrm{ba-2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 118-119 | 71.56 (71.78) | 5.82 (5.45) ${ }^{e}$ | 1213 | 4.80 (s) 3.41 (d. 10.8) | 2.24 (CMe. s, bd) |
| $4 \mathrm{ca}-1$ | 297-298 | 73.52 (73.12) | 5.23 (5.05) | 1198 | 4.87 (s) 3.92 (d, 11.4) |  |
| 4ca-2 | 226-228 | 73.55 (73.12) | 5.29 (5.05) | 1219 | 4.92 (s) 3.49 (d, 10.6) |  |
| $4 \mathrm{cb}-1$ | 275-277 | 73.36 (73.42) | 5.48 (5.28) | 1205 | $\begin{gathered} 4.93(\mathrm{~s}) 4.30\left(\mathrm{~d} \text { of } \mathrm{q},{ }^{g} 7.0,\right. \\ \left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $1.40\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right)$ |
| 4cb-2 | 226-230 | 73.18 (73.42) | 5.57 (5.28) | 1220 | $\begin{gathered} 4.90(\mathrm{~s}) 3.80(\mathrm{~d} \text { of } \mathrm{q}, 9.0, \\ \left.J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right) \end{gathered}$ | $1.06\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right)$ |
| 4 cd -1 | 246-247 | 74.49 (74.78) | $5.80(5.70)^{f}$ | 1205 | 4.93 (s) 4.12 (d, 3.6) | $4.51\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{AB},{ }^{h}\right) 1.35(\mathrm{CMc}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| 4 cd -2 | 200-202 | 72.49 (72.61) | 5.57 (5.46) | 1223 | 4.90 (s) 3.79 (d. 4.8) | $4.21\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{AB}\right.$. $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right) 1.13(\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| $4 \mathrm{cg}-1$ | 320-321 | 75.42 (75.48) | 4.94 (4.87) | 1220 | 4.92 (s) |  |
| $4 \mathrm{cg}-2$ | 241-242 | 75.59 (75.48) | 4.92 (4.87) | 1230 | 4.87 (s) |  |
| $4 \mathrm{ch}-1$ | 299-302 | 77.50 (77.49) | 5.17 (5.00) | 1202 | 5.00 (s) |  |
| $4 \mathrm{ch}-2$ | 335-339 | 77.39 (77.49) | 5.20 (5.00) | 1170 | 4.71 (s) |  |
| 4da-1 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  | 4.50 (s) 3.87 (d, 10.0) | 1.34 ( $\alpha$ - CMe , d. 13.2), 1.99 ( $\beta$ - $\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{s}$ ) |
| 4da-2 | 174-176 | 54.60 (54.21) | 6.53 (6.51) | 1205 | 4.65 (s) 3.24 (d, 9.6) | 1.36 ( $\alpha-\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{d}, 13.2$ ), 2.06 ( $\beta$-CMe, d, 0.5) |
| 4ea-1 | $d$ | 66.04 (66.37) | 5.70 (5.57) | 1200 | 4.73 (s) 3.94 (d, 11.2) | 1.58 ( $\alpha$-CMe, d, 13.2), 2.00 ( $\beta$-CMe, s, bd) |
| 4ea-2 | oil | $j$ |  | 1213 | 4.83 (s) 3.40 (d, 11.2) | $1.57(\alpha-\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{d} .13 .2), 2.04$ ( $\beta$-CMe, d. 0.5) |
| 4fa-2 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $d$ | 63.20 (62.77) | 5.53 (5.06) | 1213 | 4.94 (s) 3.29 (d, 11.2) | 2.42 ( $\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{bd}$ ), $3.81\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 4ha | 243-245 | 59.43 (59.78) | 4.73 (4.63) | 1228 | 4.94 (s) 3.32 (d, 11.4) | $3.81\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 4hg | 162-164 | 64.02 (63.71) | 4.54 (4.48) | 1220 | 4.92 (s) | $3.72\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s}\right)$ |
| 4 hh | 111-113 | 65.68 (65.50) | 4.70 (4.61) | 1210 | 4.90 (s) |  |
| 4 ia | $d$ | 59.48 (59.78) | 4.85 (4.63) | 1220 | 5.05 (s) 3.53 (d, 11.4) | 3.54. 3.67 ( $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{s} . \mathrm{s}\right)$ |

${ }^{a} \ln \mathrm{KBr}$. ${ }^{h}$ Shifts in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. Phenyl signals are omitied. ${ }^{c}$ The minor isomer detectable in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra was not isolated. ${ }^{d}$ Noncrystalline solid. ${ }^{e}$ Solvated with $1 / 2 \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} .{ }^{f}$ Solvated with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} .{ }^{g} \mathrm{~s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet. $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, bd $=$ broad. ${ }^{h}\left|\nu_{\mathrm{AB}}\right|=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{i}\left|\nu_{\wedge B}\right|=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\wedge B}=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{j}$ Analysis was not made.

NMR spectroscopy, to consist of two isomers, some of which were isolated by chromatographic separation using a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ethyl acetate mixture of variable portions as an eluant. The more readily eluted isomers, 4-1, which were produced in minor portions, show higher melting points and lower $1 R$ spectral band wavenumbers corresponding to $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching than those of the less elutable major isomers, namely, 4-2; the isomers $\mathbf{4 c h}-\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{4 c h} \mathbf{- 2}$ were exceptions to this characterization.

4ca-1, 4cb-1, and $\mathbf{4 c g - 1}$ isomerized to the other isomers when heated neat to $280-300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, whereas no isomerization corresponding to $\mathbf{4 - 2} \rightarrow \mathbf{4 - 1}$ occurred, showing 4-2 to be thermodynamically stable. No isomerization of 4-1 to 4-2 in the preparative conditions suggests that the formation of $\mathbf{4}$ is kinetically controlled.
(b) Structure of 4ca-2. Single-crystal X-ray analysis of the structure of 4ca-2 determined it to be the exo-1-methoxy isomer. The molecular geometry and the numbering scheme used for nonhydrogen atoms are shown in Figure 1. The structure shows $\eta^{4}$ coordination of the phosphole ring to the Co atom with distances between the Co and the $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ plane being $1.594 \AA$, the P atom being $0.632 \AA$ away from the plane, and the 1 -methoxy group occupying the exo position relative to the Co atom with the distances $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1), 1.607 \AA$, $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1), 1.441 \AA$, and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2), 1.484 \AA$. The selected bond distances and angles are shown in Table V1. These are comparable to those of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left[\eta^{4}-\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}\right]^{13}$ except for the geometry around $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$.

Consequently the structure of $4 \mathrm{ca}-1$ should be the endo1 -methoxy isomer. Thus we tentatively classify the structure of the isomer series $4-2$, having higher $\nu_{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}}$ values, as exo and the other series $4-1$, having lower $\nu_{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}}$, as endo isomers. In the case of $\mathbf{4 c h}$, the more readily eluted isomer has a higher $\nu_{\mathrm{P}}=0$ value and should be classified as the exo isomer, and the less readily eluted isomer $\mathbf{4 c h}-2$ as an endo isomer. The reverse of the elution order of $\mathbf{4 c h}$ compared to other 1 -alkoxyphosphole


Figure 1. Molecular geomerry of 4ca-2.
oxide complexes may be attributed to the difference of the bond polarities between the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ph}$ and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{OR}$.
(c) Formation of Phosphole. The complexes $\mathbf{4}$ were cleaved by oxidation with $\mathrm{Ce}^{4+}$ ion or iodine, when the substituents of 4 were phenyls and/or methyls, yielding phosphole oxides ${ }^{14}$ in good yields, whose properties are shown in Table 111. The phosphole oxide complexes having methoxycarbonyl substituents, however, could not be cleaved under the conditions.
2. Kinetic Investigation. The isolation of the intermediate complexes 3 has enabled us to investigate the factors influencing the substitution step (reaction 1) and the conversion step (reaction 2) individually. by means of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrometry in which the signal heights of the $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ protons of the starting and product complexes are measured.
(a) Substitution Step. The rate of the substitution reaction of $\mathbf{1 c}$ was independent of the nature of the phosphites (except

Table III. 1-Alkoxyphosphole Oxides, $\mathrm{R}^{5} \mathrm{O} \overline{\mathrm{P}(\overline{\mathrm{O}}) \mathrm{CR}^{1}=\mathrm{CR}^{2} \mathrm{CR}^{3}=\mathrm{CR}^{4} \mathrm{a}}$

| $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{4}$ | OR ${ }^{5}$ | color | ${ }_{{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Cp},}^{\mathrm{C}},$ | anal. found (calcd), \% |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}\left({ }^{\text {PH }}, \mathrm{Hz}\right)^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | C | H |  |
| Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | OMe | yellow | 208-210 | 80.00 (80.16) | 5.44 (5.34) | $3.79\left(\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=11.0\right)$ |
| Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | OEt | yellow | 196-197 | 80.11 (80.36) | 5.67 (5.62) | $\begin{aligned} & 4.10\left(\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{~d} \text { of q, } J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7.2, J_{\mathrm{HH}}\right. \\ & =7.0) \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1.22\left(\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.0\right)$ |
| Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | OPh | yellow | 188-189 | 82.30 (82.24) | 5.07 (5.07) |  |
| Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph | yellow | 270-2716 | 84.84 (84.98) | 5.32 (5.24) |  |
| Ph | Ph | Ph | Ph |  | yellow | 200-203 | 78.48 (78.51) | 5.92 (5.79) | $4.03\left(\mathrm{POMe}, \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=4.8\right)$ <br> $4.31\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{AB}\right)^{c}$ <br> $1.18(\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| Ph | Me | Me | Ph | OMe | colorless | 153-154 | 73.29 (73.52) | 6.14 (6.16) | $3.50\left(\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=10.8\right)$ <br> $2.13\left(\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=2.5\right)$ |
| Me | Me | Me | Me | OMe | colorless | oil | 58.48 (58.06) | 8.34 (8.10) | $\begin{aligned} & 3.64\left(\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=11.5\right) \\ & 1.85\left(\alpha-\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{bd}, J_{\mathrm{PH}} \sim 12\right) \\ & 1.87(\beta-\mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{bd}) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a} \ln \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; phenyl protons are omitted. ${ }^{b}$ Lit. 292-293, ${ }^{15} 284-285^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{16}{ }^{c} \mid \nu_{A B \mid}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{AB}}=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$.


Figure 2. The reaction rate of $\mathbf{1 c}\left(1.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$ with various phosphites:
 $\mathrm{g}, \mathbf{2 g} ; \mathrm{h}, \mathbf{2 h} ; \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{2 i}\left(2 \times 10^{-1} \mathbf{M}\right.$ for $\left.\mathbf{b - i}\right)$, in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $62^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{2 g}$ ) and independent of [2a], within a range of 1.5-10 molar equiv [1c] as shown in Figure 2. ${ }^{17}$ This suggests the substitution reaction to be first order in [1c] and zero order in concentration of phosphite. The first-order rate constants for the reactions of seven cobaltacyclopentadiene complexes with 2a are listed in Table IV. The rate constants for the system of 1a, $\mathbf{1 d}$, and $\mathbf{1 e}$ were estimated with the assumption that the formation of 4aa, 4da, and 4ea is controlled by dissociation; however, only a qualitative estimation was possible because of the occurrence of appreciable signal broadening in the spectra. In the case of $\mathbf{1 b}$, the appearance of the signal due to $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ of 3ba followed by growth of the signals due to the formation of 4ba was observed. The rate of formation was estimated by the change in the summed heights of these signals. In the case of $\mathbf{1 h}$ having two methoxycarbonyls, the rate was not only low but also deviated appreciably from the simple first-order expression, so the value for 1 h was obtained by applying an initial-rate method. These qualitative values are shown in parentheses in Table IV for comparison.
(b) Conversion Step. As will be described in the Experimental Section, this step is accompanied by the formation of $\eta^{4}$-cyclobutadiene complexes 5 as a side reaction. However, this side reaction can be suppressed by adding phosphite to the system, permitting kinetic measurements of the conversion step. The conversion of 3ca to 4ca was found to obey first-order kinetics


Figure 3. The effect of the coordinated phosphite on the rate of 4 formation from $3\left(1.4 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in the presence of corresponding $2\left(1.8 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}\right)$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}:$ a, 3ca; b, 3cb; c, 3ce; $\mathrm{h}, 3 \mathrm{ch}$.
within a wide range of [2a]. The system of $\mathbf{3 b a}$ also shows first-order kinetics. The first-order rate constants and activation parameters for these systems are shown in Table V.

The percent conversion in the reaction of tetraphenylcobaltacyclopentadiene complexes having several phosphites is shown in Figure 3 as a function of reaction time. The rate of this conversion is very dependent on the nature of the phosphite coordinated. When the ligand was $\mathbf{2 b}$ or $\mathbf{2 h}$, the reaction was slower than that for $\mathbf{2 a}$ and also was found to deviate appreciably from first-order kinetics. In the system with $\mathbf{2 c}$, the formation of 4 ce was undetectable because a considerable signal broadening occurred from an early stage in the reaction,

## III. Discussion

1. Substitution Reaction. The reactivities of the cobaltacyclopentadienes are compared in Table IV by means of the $k$ values for the reaction with $\mathbf{2 a}$. Further, the rates of the reaction with $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ were also measured and the results are included in Table IV. Since only substitution occurs with $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, more precise $k$ values than those obtained with 2a could be determined for the cobaltacyclopentadienes having the electronreleasing methyl group. The system of $\mathbf{1 d}$ and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ showed considerable signal broadening which prevented the rate estimation in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. There was no such impediment for the rate determination in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$.

Table IV. Dissociation Rate Constants and Activation Parameters for the Reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with L in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$


Experimental conditions: ${ }^{a}[\mathbf{1 a}] 1.23 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{b}[\mathbf{1 b}] 1.11 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{c}[\mathbf{1 c}] 1.04 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{d}[\mathbf{1 d}] 1.28 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$, in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}$, in the presence of $\left[\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right], 4.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{e}[\mathbf{1 c}] 1.04 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{f}[\mathbf{1 f}] 1.37 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ in the presence of $[\mathbf{2 a}], 4.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$.

Table V. Rate Constants and Activation Parameters for Conversion Reactions, $\mathbf{3} \rightarrow \mathbf{4}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$

|  | $\begin{gathered} k \cdot c \\ \times 10^{-5}, \mathrm{~s}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mol}}}{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \Delta S^{\ddagger}, \\ \text { eu } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3ba ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $k_{70.1}=9.5 \pm 0.1$ | $19.7 \pm 0.2$ | -3.9 |
|  | $k_{65.2}=6.2 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
|  | $k_{59.7}=3.7 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
| $3 \mathrm{ca}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $k_{116.9}=15.7 \pm 0.2$ | $22.3 \pm 0.2$ | -3.8 |
|  | $k_{110.0}=9.2 \pm 0.2$ |  |  |
|  | $k_{99.9}=4.0 \pm 0.1$ |  |  |
| 3fa ${ }^{\text {c,e }}$ | $k_{129.8}=20.4$ | $\sim 24$ |  |
|  | $k_{120.0}=9.0$ |  |  |
|  | $k_{110.0}=4.2$ |  |  |
| 3ga ${ }^{\text {d,e }}$ | $k_{139.6}=8.8$ | $\sim 26$ |  |
|  | $k_{129.8}=3.6$ |  |  |
|  | $k_{120.0}=1.7$ |  |  |

Experimental conditions: ${ }^{a}$ [3ba] $1.44 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ and [2a] $4.2 \times$ $10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{b}$ [3ca] $1.66 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ and [2a] $3.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{c}[3 \mathrm{fa}] 1.18$ $\times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ and [2a] $4.2 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} ;{ }^{d}$ [3ga] $1.36 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M}$ and [2a] $3.0 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{M} .{ }^{e}$ The constants were estimated by initial rate method.

There is a slight difference in the $k$ values for the system of $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ and of $\mathbf{1 c}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}$; however, both series of $k$ values result in the same value of $\Delta H^{\ddagger} \sim 31 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S^{\ddagger} \sim 4$ eu , indicating that we can consider in detail the factors influencing the reactivity of the cobaltacyclopentadienes in terms of the $k$ values from both systems.
(a) Reactivity of Incoming Ligands in the Substitution. The substitution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathbf{1 d}$ with $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ has been shown by Bergman et al. ${ }^{11}$ to be first order in [1d] and independent of [ $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ ]. The present work verifies that first-order kinetics is fulfilled in the reaction of $\mathbf{1 c}$ with a wide range of phosphites and phosphonites as well as $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ (except 2g), and also in the reaction of a series of the cobaltacyclopentadienes with $\mathbf{2 a}$ and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ (except $\mathbf{1}$ h and $\mathbf{1 i}$ ). The first-order kinetics is attained by providing the incoming ligand 2 at a high rate to scavenge 6 eliminating the back-reaction to 1 .

$$
\mathbf{1} \underset{+\mathrm{PPh}_{3} k_{-1}}{\stackrel{-\mathrm{Ph}_{3} k_{1}}{\rightleftarrows}} 6 \xrightarrow{+2 k_{2}} 3
$$

Under these conditions, the rate expression, $\mathrm{d}[3] / \mathrm{d} t=$ $k_{1} k_{2}[1][2] /\left(k-1\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]+k_{2}[2]\right)$, becomes the simple firstorder expression, $\mathrm{d}[3] / \mathrm{d} t=k_{1}$ [1], since $k_{2} \gg k_{-1}$ and [2] $>$ $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$. The high reactivity of the incoming ligands to 6,
compared to that of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, may be mainly due to the difference in size of the ligands, ${ }^{18}$ in comparison with those of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, rather than the difference in the donor-acceptor properties. However, in the case of $\mathbf{2 g}$, which was also smaller than $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in size, the reactivity was not high compared to that of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The weaker basicity and the bulkiness of $\mathbf{2 g}$ can be taken together to account for the lower reactivity and the deviation from the simple first-order kinetics, through competition with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ toward 6. Both $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ follow first-order kinetics despite $\mathbf{2 c}$ having a cone angle similar to that of $\mathbf{2 g}$, and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ even larger than $\mathbf{2 g}$. The stronger donor ability of $\mathbf{2 c}$ and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$, relative to 2 g , may explain these observations.
(b) Reactivity of the Cobaltacyclopentadienes. The reactivity order of a series of the cobaltacyclopentadienes in the $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ substitution with $\mathbf{2 a}$ is thus found as shown in Chart I.
$\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-Diphenyl- $\beta, \beta^{\prime}$-dimethylcobaltacyclopentadiene complex A is the most reactive and the activity decreases along the illustrated order to those having two methoxycarbonyl groups, It is obvious that the substituent of the cobalt metallacycle which enhances the electron density on the Co atom may make the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Co}$ bond weaker and vice versa. This electronic consideration explains the reactivity order among $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$, Chart 1
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$\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{F}$, and H . However, the observed order also reveals another factor. By the electronic considerations, D is expected to be the most reactive. However, this is not the case. Thus the observed reactivity suggests a steric enhancement of the dissociation rate due to the presence of phenyl groups in $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ positions. A single $\alpha$-phenyl does not show such an effect as can be seen in $E$ and 1.
lt is noteworthy that the reactivity order, $\mathrm{D}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}>\mathrm{C}$, has been found in the photochemical experiments. Under such conditions, the electronic factor of the substituents may predominate.
2. Conversion Reaction. The kinetic results and the absence of a solvent effect on the reaction rate, $3 \mathrm{ca} \rightarrow 4 \mathrm{ca}$, using $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$, and a $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 / 1)$ mixture as a solvent, lead us to propose Scheme II.
Although formation of the 1-trialkoxyphosphole complex 9 was not detected, the formation of 9 and successive facile elimination of ether giving 4 are proposed, based on isolation of ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl)(1-trifluorophosphole)cobalt complex in the reaction of $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{PF}_{3}\right)_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCF}_{3} .{ }^{19}$ The elimination of ether was suggested in NMR spectra of the reaction systems of $\mathbf{2 a}$, showing the appearance of a signal assignable to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ at $\delta 3.22$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and was confirmed by the reaction of 3 cd heated neat, giving 4 cd , in which the eliminated ether moiety is attached as the oxetane ring.
9 may be formed by either path i or ii. Path i involves an insertion of the coordinated phosphite into the Co-carbon bond giving a ring-enlarged 8 through 7 by an intramolecular oxidative addition of the $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}$ bond to the phosphorus atom and a conversion of 8 to 9 by a reductive coupling of the phosphorus and another $\alpha$ carbon. Path ii involves a 1,4 cycloaddition of the phosphite to the $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ carbons in the coordination sphere, 10. The negative value for $\Delta S^{\ddagger}(-4 \mathrm{eu})$ for this reaction indicates the concerted nonionic intramolecular transformation to 7 or $\mathbf{1 0}$ without any bond splitting to be the rate-determining step.

## Chart II


(a) Reactivity of Coordinated Phosphites. A characteristic of the conversion step is that the rate is very dependent on the nature of 2, as shown in Figure 3. This contrasts with the substitution step, in which the rate is insensitive with respect to the nature of $\mathbf{2}$ used. The reactivity order of the phosphites is shown in Chart II. The order of the first two cyclic phosphites is suggested by the following arguments. Although both systems yielded mixtures of 4 ca and the $1-\omega$-hydroxyalkoxyphosphole oxide complexes instead of the expected $1-\omega$ methoxyphosphole oxide complexes, and although the mechanism for the formation of the $\omega$-hydroxyalkoxy complexes is not clear, it may not be unreasonable to consider that the $\omega$-hydroxyalkoxyphosphole oxide complexes as well as 4ca are formed from the intermediate 9 after the rate-determining step. By this assumption, the faster disappearance of the $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ signal of $\mathbf{3 c f}$ than that of $\mathbf{3 c a}$ is considered to correspond to the higher reactivity of $\mathbf{3 c f}$ compared to $\mathbf{3 c a}$. The reactivity of $\mathbf{2 e}$ is considered highest because the corresponding 3ce could not be isolated or detected. The relative reactivity of $\mathbf{2 g}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ could not be estimated even qualitatively by the NMR method, although it should be noted that they can be higher than that of $\mathbf{2 c}$ since both $\mathbf{2 g}$ and $\mathbf{2 i}$ could yield the corresponding phosphole oxide complexes.
The reactivity order thus evaluated is opposite to those which have been found in the reactions ofphosphites involving the ionic intermediate of a four-valent phosphorus as seen in well-known Arbusov-type reactions. ${ }^{20 a}$ The characteristic order of the reactivity might be comparable to that found in the reaction of cyclic phosphines ${ }^{21}$ or cyclic phosphites ${ }^{22}$ with dialkyl peroxides, in which a transition state of the concertedly pentacoordinated phosphorus has been proposed.

It is known that trivalent phosphorus compounds with electronegative substituents undergo a facile addition to dienes to give pentavalent phospholene derivatives, and that phosphites can react with dienes only when the phosphite is cyclic. ${ }^{20 b}$ The reactivity of the coordinated phosphites in the conversion step can be attributed to the decreased electron density of the phosphorus by coordination to the Co atom of the formal charge of 3 . The enhanced positive character of the coordinated 2 may facilitate the change in the valence of the P atom from a tetracoordinate $\mathrm{P}(111)$ to a pentacoordinate $P(V)$.

The characteristic reactivity order, however, may be explained by the steric effect on the transformation from the tetrahedral tetracoordinate phosphorus to the bipyramidal pentacoordinate one. The ring strain present in the tetracoordinate state of the cyclic phosphites may be released in the pentacoordinate state; on the other hand, a steric constraint arising from the transformation of $\mathbf{2}$ having bulky groups may retard the formation of $\mathbf{7}$ or $\mathbf{1 0}$ and result in no formation of 4 cc from 3cc.
(b) Reactivity of Cobaltacyclopentadienes toward 2a. The following reactivity order of the cobaltacyclopentadienes is deduced from the kinetic and photochemical observations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{D}>\mathrm{A}>\mathrm{B}>\mathrm{C}>\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{G}>\mathrm{H} \gg \mathrm{I} \\
& \mathrm{G}=\text { 1.2,4-ıriphenyl-3-methoxycarbonyl }
\end{aligned}
$$

A characteristic of the conversion reaction is that $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ is smaller than that for the substitution reaction. Despite this, the conversion reaction of 3 ca requires a higher temperature
than the substitution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ does. This is attributable to the difference in the sign of $\Delta S^{\mp}$ for the individual steps. Because of the smaller activation enthalpy and the negative activation entropy for the conversion reaction, the first-order conversion rates of A and of D exceed the corresponding dissociation rates at the experimental conditions, which would result in preventing the isolation of 3aa and 3da.

The reactivity order may be attributed to the electronic effect of the substituents on the interaction between the $\alpha$ carbon and the electron-deficient phosphorus, and on the strength of the Co-carbon bond. Substitution with an electron-withdrawing group results in lower electron density for the $\alpha$ carbon and a stronger $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}$ bond; these two factors result in a greater $\Delta H^{\ddagger}$ value and a conversion reaction which is competitive relative to dissociation of the phosphite ligand and therefore leading to degradations. When the cobalt metallacycle has methoxycarbonyl groups, the lower reaction rates, the deviations from first-order kinetics, and the broadening in the NMR spectra may arise from such side reactions.

## IV. Experimental Section

1. General Procedures and Physical Measurements. The reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of nitrogen or argon. Melting points were taken on a Laboratory Devices Mel-Temp apparatus and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Shimazu 1R-27G spectrometer and ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra were recorded with a JEOL C60 HL or Varian HA-100B spectrometer, using tetramethylsilane as a reference.
2. Materials. Triphenylphosphinecobaltacyclopentadiene complexes 1a-h were prepared according to published methods. ${ }^{7.11}$ Phosphites $\mathbf{2 a - c}$ and $\mathbf{2 g}$ were redistilled as commercial reagents which were stored under an atmosphere of argon after distillation. The phosphites $\mathbf{2 d} \mathbf{- f}$ and 2 h , i were prepared according to published methods. ${ }^{23.24}$
3. Preparation of Triphenylphosphinecobaltacyclopentadiene Complexes. In benzene $(50 \mathrm{~mL}), \quad \mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CCO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{25}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6$ mmol ) were allowed to react at room temperature for 3 days. The color of the solution changed from reddish-brown to light brown. The reaction mixture was concentrated and chromatographed on alumina $(1.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm})$. A brown band, eluted with benzene, was concentrated to 5 mL . The addition of hexane gave dark orange crystals $(0.08 \mathrm{~g})$ : mp 209-210 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.84\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 2.88\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$, s), $1.22\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{bd}\right)$, and $6.4-7.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex), suggesting the crystals to be the $\alpha-\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\beta-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ isomer of $1 \mathrm{f} ; 1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1676$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}}\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{OCo}: \mathrm{C}, 76.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.48$. Found: C, 76.43 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.54$.

The second brown band, eluted with a benzene- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7 / 1)$ mixture, gave dark brown crystals of if $(0.25 \mathrm{~g})$ : $\mathrm{mp} 194-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\delta 4.66\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 3.24\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 1.53\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=1.4\right.$ Hz ), and 6.4-7.4 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex $) ; 1 \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{KBr}) 1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(\nu_{\mathrm{C}}=0\right)$. Anal. Found: C, $76.50 ; H, 5.90$.

1e was prepared similarly from $\mathrm{Co}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)(\mathrm{PhC} \equiv \mathrm{CPh})$ $(1.0 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ by heating for 7 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ : yellow-brown crystals $(0.42 \mathrm{~g})$; $\mathrm{mp} 193-195^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 4.70\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, s $), 1.92$ and $1.64\left(2 \mathrm{CCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}\right.$, bd $)$, and 6.5-7.4 $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{PCo}: \mathrm{C}, 79.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.87$. Found: C, $80.04, \mathrm{H}, 5.96$.
4. Preparation of (Triethylphosphine)cobaltacyclopentadienes. 1c $(158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(150 \mathrm{mg})$ were reacted in a toluene solution ( 15 mL ) at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 6 h , the orange-brown reaction mixture was concentrated and then chromatographed on alumina (deactivated with $5 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Elution with hexane$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(1 / 2)$ mixture gave an orange-brown band. After evaporation of the eluted solution, crystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane gave red crystals of $(\hat{\mathrm{CoCPh}}=\mathrm{CPhCPh}=\mathrm{CPh})\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right)(83.5 \mathrm{mg}$, $65 \%$ yield): mp $176-178^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.64\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 1.87$ $\left(\mathrm{PCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{q}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7.5, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.05\left(\mathrm{PCCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}$ $\left.=14, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and $6.4-7.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{PCO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.73$. Found: C, 78.55 : $\mathrm{H}, 6.80$.

The $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$ complexes from $\mathbf{1 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 b}$ were prepared similarly, $(\overline{\mathrm{CoCPh}=\mathrm{CMeCMe}=\mathrm{CPh}})\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right): \mathrm{mp} 185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.48\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 1.70\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right.$ and $\mathrm{PCH}_{2}$, complex $)$, $1.95\left(\mathrm{PCCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=14, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and $7.0-7.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{PCo}: \mathrm{C}, 73.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.65$. Found: C ,
73.62; $\mathrm{H}, 7.71 .(\mathrm{CoCPh}=\mathrm{CMeCPh}=\mathrm{CPh})\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right): \mathrm{mp}$ $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \delta 4.58\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 1.80\left(\mathrm{PCH}_{2}\right.$, d of q , $\left.J_{\mathrm{PH}}=7, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 1.63\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{bd}\right), 1.00\left(\mathrm{PCCH}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}$ $\left.=14, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, and 6.8-7.4 ( $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, complex). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{PCo}: \mathrm{C}, 76.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.14$. Found: C, $76.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.22$.
5. Preparation of Phosphite Cobaltacyclopentadiene Complexes. (a) 3ca. In a benzene solution ( 20 mL ), 1c $(0.985 \mathrm{~g}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathbf{a}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol})$ were heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction mixture turned from brown to red. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on alumina (2.5 $\times 15 \mathrm{~cm})$. An orange band was eluted with a benzene- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(9 / 1)$ mixture. Concentration of the solvent (to ca. 15 mL ) and addition of hexane gave red crystals of $3 \mathrm{ca}(0.748 \mathrm{~g}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 93 \%$ yield). The second orange band, which was eluted with benzene-ethyl acetate $(1 / 1)$ mixture, gave a red-orange solid ( 0.045 g ) after evaporation of the solvent. The solid was identified to be a mixture of $\mathbf{4 c a}-1$ and $\mathbf{4 c a - 2}$ by NMR spectroscopy.

IR (KBr): 3ca, 3065, 3030, 2960, 2855, 1946, 1880, 1818, 1602, $1592,1572,1520,1512,1482,1462,1440,1390,1360,1264,1200$. $1177,1156,1118,1080,1055,1040,1024,998,908,860,840,820$, $812,798,780,770,740,698,625,600,555 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 4ca-1, 3070, 3040, $2960,2850,1602,1498,1448,1422,1410,1386,1350,1311,1280$, $1198,1188,1178,1158,1112,1078,1070,1045,1010,995,830,812$, $790,760,740,710,692,665,645,612,602,585,564,522,500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; 4ca-2, 3080, 3000, 2960, 2850, 1600, 1580, 1498, 1450, 1412, 1386, $1350,1310,1212,1190,1174,1165,1110,1098,1070,1010,972,910$, $848,830,820,804,792.770,760,740,720,702,692,635,610,588$, $565,515 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
(b) 3ha. A toluene ( 10 mL ) solution of $1 \mathrm{~h}(103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2a ( 0.4 g ) was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. After 9 h , the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure and the red residue was chromatographed on alumina ( $1.0 \times 12 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). A yellow-orange band cluted with a benzene-ethyl acetate ( $4 / 1$ ) mixture was collected. After evaporation to dryness, crystallization from benzene-hexane gave yellow crystals of 3 ha ( $67 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%$ yield). Elution with a benzene-ethyl acetate ( $1 / 1$ ) mixture gave a small amount of a red-orange solution. The eluate, after evaporation and crystallization from benzene-hexane, gave $4 \mathrm{ha}(2 \mathrm{mg}$ ). 3ia, 3fa, and 3ga were prepared similarly
6. Preparation of 1-Alkoxyphosphole Oxide Complexes. (a) 4ca. A xylene ( 15 mL ) solution of $3 \mathrm{ca}(462 \mathrm{mg}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 6.5 h , the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on alumina ( $1.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Elution with hexane-benzene ( $3 / 1$ to $1 / 1$ ) mixture gave a yellow and an orange band. The yellow eluate, after evaporation to dryness and crystallization of the residue from benzene-hexane, gave yellow crystals of ( $\eta^{5}$-cyclopentadienyl) ( $\eta^{4}$-tetraphenylcyclobutadiene)cobalt $(5,81 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$. From the orange eluate, the unreacted 3ca ( $181 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was recovered by similar workup. Elution with a benzene-ethyl acetate $(7 / 3)$ mixture gave two orange bands. From the more readily eluted orange band, after evaporation of the solvent and crystallization from benzene-hexane, orange crystals of 4 ca- 1 ( $38 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were obtained. The second band was cluted with a benzene-ethyl acetate $(1 / 1)$ mixture. Evaporation of the solvent and crystallization gave $\mathbf{4 c a - 2}(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$.

A similar treatment of $3 \mathrm{ca}(\mathbf{4} 10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathbf{2 a}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ gave unreacted $\mathbf{3 c a}(196 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathbf{4 c a - 1}(25 \mathrm{mg})$ and 4ca-2 ( 110 mg ).
(b) 4da. In a benzenc ( 30 mL ) solution, $1 \mathbf{1 d}(420 \mathrm{mg}, 0.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2a ( $650 \mathrm{mg}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were heated al $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The color of the solution changed from brown to light orange. After evaporation of the solvent, the orange residue was chromatographed on alumina (deactivated with $5 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). After a small a mount of pale orange band eluted with benzene, a yellow band was eluted with a benzene-THF (1/1) mixture. Evaporation of the solvent, followed by crystallization from benzene-hexane, gave yellow crystals of 4da-2 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.58 \mathrm{mmol}, 68 \%$ yield).
(c) 4 cg . In a toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution, $1 \mathrm{c}(833 \mathrm{mg}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2 g}(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . The solution changed from dark brown to orange. After concentration of the solvent, the residue was chromatographed on alumina ( $2.5 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). After a trace amount of pale yellow band eluted with a hexane-benzene ( $1 / 1$ ) mixture, two orange bands were separated with a ben-zene-ethyl acetate ( $3 / 2$ ) mixture. The first band was eluted with the same mixture and evaporated to dryness. Crystallization of the residue from benzene-hexane gave orange crystals of $\mathbf{4 c g - 1}$ ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$
mmol ). The second orange band was eluted with a benzene-ethyl acetate ( $1 / 4$ ) mixture. A similar workup gave orange crystals of $\mathbf{4 c g - 2}$ ( $533 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
(d) 4ha. In a toluene ( 15 mL ) solution, $1 \mathrm{~h}(320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2 a}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ were reacted at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h . After evaporation of the solvent, the red residue was chromatographed on alumina ( $1.5 \times 12$ cm ). From a yellow-orange band which was eluted with a benzeneethyl acetate ( $5 / \mathrm{l}$ ) mixture, 3ha ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was isolated. From a red-orange band eluted with a benzene-ethyl acetate (1/1) mixture 4 ha ( $31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was obtained.
(e) Reaction Products of 1 c with $\mathbf{2 e}$ or $\mathbf{2 f}$. In a benzene ( 30 mL ) solution, $\mathbf{1 c}(457 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{nmmol})$ was reacted with $2 \mathrm{e}(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6$ mmol ) at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 10 h , the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. The red residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and chromatographed on alumina (deactivated with $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). Elution with benzene-THF ( $6 / 1$ and $1 / 1$ ) mixtures gave two orange bands from which $\mathbf{4 c a - 1}(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{4 c a - 2}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10$ mmol ) were isolated. A broad, orange band eluted with THF was collected and the eluate, after concentration, was rechromatographed on silica gel. The first orange band eluted with ethyl acetate gave orange crystals. $4 c e-1(71 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the second orange band eluted with an ethyl acetate-THF (2/1) mixture gave orange crystals, 4ce-2 ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Analysis and IR and NMR spectra of the products showed $\mathbf{4 c e}-1$ and $\mathbf{4 c e - 2}$ to be the endo and exo isomers of the 1-(2-hydroxyethyloxy)-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylphosphole oxide complex of $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Co} .4 \mathrm{ce}-1: \mathrm{mp} 258-260^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \nu_{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{O} 1172, \nu_{\mathrm{OH}} 3260$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.91\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 4.29\left(\mathrm{POCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=$ $\left.10.8, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) 3.75\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{t}\right), \sim 3.75(\mathrm{OH}), 6.9-7.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCo}: \mathrm{C}, 71.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.13$. Found: C, $71.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.20 .4 \mathrm{ce}-2: \mathrm{mp} 239-241^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \nu_{\mathrm{P}}=1198, \nu_{\mathrm{OH}} 3260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.90\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 3.80\left(\mathrm{POCH}_{2}\right.$, d of $\left.\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $3.52\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{m}\right) 6.9-7.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex). Anal. Found: C, 71.20; H, 5.17 .

The reaction of $1 \mathrm{c}(413 \mathrm{mg}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2 \mathrm{f}(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 6.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ gave the exo-1-(3-hydroxypropyloxy) analogue $4 \mathrm{cf}-2$ ( $124 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ besides $\mathbf{4 c a - 1}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{4 c a - 2}(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}) .4 \mathrm{cf}-2: \mathrm{mp} 197-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \nu_{\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}} 1200, \nu_{\mathrm{OH}} 3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.88\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{~s}\right), 3.90\left(\mathrm{POCH}_{2}, \mathrm{~d}\right.$ of $\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{PH}}=9.0, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.34\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), \sim 3.35(\mathrm{OH}), 1.57\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right.$, t of $\left.\mathrm{t}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 6.9-7.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, complex $)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{PCo}: \mathrm{C}, 71.74 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36$. Found: C, $71.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.39$.
7. Thermolysis of Crystals (a). 4cd. Crystals of 3 cd ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31$ mmol ) were heated in an ampule at $210^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , yielding a red mass. The solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and chromatographed on silica gel $(1.0 \times 15 \mathrm{~cm})$. From a pale yellow band eluted with a hexane-benzene ( $2 / 1$ ) mixture, after evaporation and crystallization from benzene-hexane, yellow crystals of $5(1 \mathrm{mg})$ were obtained. An orange broad band was eluted with benzene-THF mixtures ( $8 / 1$ to $6 / 1$ ). Evaporation of the solvent gave a noncrystalline red residue ( 175 mg ) whose NMR spectrum showed the presence of signals assignable to two kinds of complexes with unidentified contaminants. The residue was rechromatographed on silica gel $(1.0 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm})$. After thorough elution with benzene, the first orange band eluted with benzene-THF ( $20 / 1$ ) mixture gave red-orange crystals of $\mathbf{4 c d}-1(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ by crystallization from benzene-hexane. The second orange band eluted with a benzene-THF $(7 / 1)$ mixture gave orange crystals of 4cd-2 ( $86 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
(b) 4cb. A similar treatment of $\mathbf{3 c b}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $180-190$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h followed by chromatography on alumina gave a yellow band and two orange bands by elution with hexane-benzene $(1 / 1)$ and benzene-ethyl acetate ( $3 / 2$ and $2 / 3$ ) mixtures. From these eluates, 5 ( $59 \mathrm{mg}, 39 \%$ yield), $\mathbf{4 c b - 1}(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \%$ yield), and $\mathbf{4 c b - 2}$ ( $84 \mathrm{mg} .0 .15 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%$ yield) were isolated, respectively. When 3cb was heated at higher temperature $\left(210-220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, the amount of 5 increased (to $67 \%$ yield) and that of $\mathbf{4 c b}-2$ decreased (to $28 \%$ yield). A similar treatment in the presence of an excess of $\mathbf{2 b}$ gave $\mathbf{4 c b} \mathbf{- 1}(6 \%$ yield) and $\mathbf{4 c b}-\mathbf{2}$ ( $90 \%$ yield) and no formation of 5 .
8. Photochemical Preparations. (a) 3ba. A solution of $\mathbf{1 b}$ ( 318 mg , 0.47 mmol ) and 2a ( 0.5 mL ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was irradiated (Riko Kagaku 100-W high-pressure mercury lamp, cutoff filter L-39, Toshiba, $\lambda>350 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) while being stirred at room temperature in a Pyrex tube, which was placed 10 cm from the lamp. After irradiation for 4 days, the reaction mixture was concentrated (to 5 mL ) and chromatographed on alumina (deactivated wth $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.5 \times$ 10 cm ). Elution with a hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 / 1)$ mixture gave an orange band. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure at room

Table VI. Molecular Geometry for 4ca-2

| A. Intramolecular Distances $(\AA)$, with Estimated Standard <br> Deviations in Parentheses |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.073(6)$ | $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.441(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $2.004(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.445(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.990(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.440(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.047(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.436(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $2.082(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.400(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co} \mathrm{C}(12)$ | $2.053(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.400(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2.048(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.419(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $2.064(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.451(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $2.059(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.411(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.607(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.476(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.484(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $1.501(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.764(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.485(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.772(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $1.496(7)$ |

B. Intramolecular Angles (deg), with Estimated Standard Deviations in Parentheses

| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $74.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $112.2(4)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{Co}-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $42.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $110.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $125.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $105.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $125.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $109.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $122.3(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $120.4(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $126.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $118.5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $126.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $119.4(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $108.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $107.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $109.3(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $108.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $106.1(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $125.2(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $108.6(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $121.2(3)$ |  |  |

C. Equations of Least-Squares Planes, Which Are in Cartesian Coordinates $(\AA)$ with the $z$ Axis Coinciding with $c$ and the $y$ Axis

Coinciding with $b^{* a}$
plane (1): $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(5)$
$0.1660 X+0.0541 Y+0.9846 Z-1.51566=0$
$[\mathrm{Co}-1.594, \mathrm{P} 0.632, \mathrm{C}(2)-0.003, \mathrm{C}(3) 0.005, \mathrm{C}(4)-0.005, \mathrm{C}(5)$ 0.003 ]
plane (2): C(11)-C(15)
$0.2782 X-0.0118 Y+0.9604 Z+1.44321=0$
$[C(11)-0.014, C(12) 0.011, C(13)-0.004, C(14)-0.004, C(15)$
0.011 ]
plane (3): $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{C}(2), \mathrm{C}(5)$
$0.5748 X-0.2084 Y+0.7913 Z-2.25656=0$
angles (deg) between planes:
(1)-(2) 7.6
(1)-(3) 30.3
$a$ Distances $(\AA)$ of relevant atoms from the plane are shown in
square brackets.
temperature and crystallization from benzene-hexane gave orange crystals of 3 ba ( $217 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ).
(b) 4da. A solution of $1 \mathbf{d}(108 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{2 a}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5$ mmol ) in benzene ( 15 mL ) was similarly irradiated. The color of the solution changed from dark brown to light orange. The reaction mixture was concentrated at room temperature and chromatographed on alumina (deactivated with $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.3 \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). After the column was eluted thoroughly with hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ mixtures, a yellow band was eluted with a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{THF}(1 / 1)$ mixture. Evaporation of the solvent followed by crystallization from benzene-hexane gave yellow crystals of $4 \mathrm{da}-2(56 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$.
9. Cleavage. A solution of $4 \mathrm{~cd}-2(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}(1 / 1)$ mixture $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred with $\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4}$. $2\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right) \cdot x \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.5 \mathrm{~g})$ at room temperature for 1 day. The orange solution changed to pale orange. The mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The yellow extract, after concentration (to $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-THF ( $4 / 1$ ) mixture gave a yellow band. Concentration of the eluate to about 3 mL , followed by addition of hexane ( 10 mL ), gave yellow crystals of 1-[3-(3-methyl)oxe-tanyl]methyloxy-2,3,4,5-tetraphenylphosphole oxide ( $7.26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ mmol, $73 \%$ yield).

A solution of $\mathbf{4 c b - 2}(270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was

Table VII. Final Atomic Parameters and Their Standard Deviations ${ }^{a}$

| atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $B_{11}$ | $B_{22}$ | $B_{33}$ | $B_{12}$ | $B_{13}$ | $B_{23}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co | $0.34250(8)$ | $0.29667(5)$ | 0.057 39(8) | $2.35(3)$ | 2.64(3) | 2.62(3) | -0.51(2) | 1.03(2) | 0.20(2) |
| P | $0.22290(12)$ | $0.35764(8)$ | $0.24935(12)$ | 1.81 (5) | 2.33(4) | 2.86 (5) | -0.37(4) | $0.95(4)$ | 0.02(4) |
| Ol | $0.2588(3)$ | $0.3539(2)$ | 0.4170(3) | 3.41 (1) | 3.7(1) | 2.6 (1) | -0.9(1) | 1.5(1) | -0.4(1) |
| O 2 | $0.0688(2)$ | $0.4109(2)$ | $0.1720(3)$ | 1.1 (1) | 3.4(1) | $4.5(1)$ | -0.1(1) | 0.5(1) | 0.8(1) |
| Cl | $0.1970(6)$ | 0.4412(4) | $0.4813(6)$ | 4.5 (3) | 5.7(3) | 5.3(3) | -1.8(2) | $2.7(2)$ | -2.3(2) |
| C2 | 0.3040 (4) | 0.2357(3) | $0.2098(4)$ | 2.3 (2) | 2.5(1) | $2.7(2)$ | -0.8(1) | 1.1(1) | $0.1(1)$ |
| C3 | 0.4532(4) | 0.2207(3) | 0.2452(4) | 2.3 (2) | 2.6(2) | $2.9(2)$ | -0.2(1) | 1.0(1) | $0.3(1)$ |
| C4 | $0.4789(4)$ | $0.3125(3)$ | 0.2461 (4) | $2.0(2)$ | 2.6(2) | $3.0(2)$ | -0.4(1) | 1.1(1) | $0.3(1)$ |
| C5 | $0.3485(4)$ | $0.3952(3)$ | $0.2137(4)$ | $1.9(1)$ | $2.5(1)$ | $2.9(2)$ | -0.7(1) | 1.1(1) | 0.1 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Cl1}$ | $0.4198(5)$ | 0.2747(4) | $-0.1061(5)$ | $4.8(3)$ | $5.0(3)$ | $3.8(2)$ | -0.8(2) | 2.7 (2) | $0.0(2)$ |
| Cl 2 | $0.3475(5)$ | 0.2092(3) | -0.1108(5) | $4.9(3)$ | 3.6 (2) | $4.0(2)$ | -0.9(2) | 2.1 (2) | -0.5(2) |
| C13 | 0.2063 (6) | $0.2648(4)$ | -0.1284(5) | 5.0(3) | 6.3(3) | 3.1 (2) | $-2.7(2)$ | 1.1(2) | -0.6(2) |
| C.14 | 0.1881 (5) | 0.3670 (4) | -0.1315(5) | $4.4(2)$ | 5.6(3) | 2.1 (2) | -0.1(2) | 0.3(2) | $0.4(2)$ |
| C15 | $0.3256(6)$ | 0.3724 (4) | -0.1151(5) | 5.5(3) | 4.9 (2) | $3.2(2)$ | -1.8(2) | 1.8(2) | $0.7(2)$ |
| C21 | $0.2420(4)$ | $0.1561(3)$ | $0.1865(4)$ | 2.4(2) | 2.7(2) | 2.3(2) | -0.8(1) | 0.6(1) | 0.4(1) |
| C22 | $0.1186(5)$ | $0.1733(3)$ | $0.2148(5)$ | 2.8 (2) | 3.3(2) | $4.0(2)$ | -0.9(1) | 1.3(2) | 0.3(1) |
| C23 | $0.0576(5)$ | $0.1007(3)$ | $0.2019(5)$ | 3.1 (2) | 4.2(2) | 5.8(3) | -1.8(2) | 1.5(2) | $0.1(2)$ |
| C24 | $0.1173(5)$ | $0.0100(4)$ | $0.1550(5)$ | 4.8 (3) | 4.3 (2) | $5.2(3)$ | -2.7(2) | 1.2(2) | 0.1 (2) |
| C25 | $0.2388(6)$ | -0.0081(3) | $0.1234(6)$ | $5.5(3)$ | 3.4(2) | $5.7(3)$ | -2.0(2) | 2.3(2) | -0.8(2) |
| C26 | 0.2976(5) | 0.0649 (3) | $0.1355(5)$ | 4.1 (2) | 2.9 (2) | $4.8(2)$ | -1.5(2) | 2.3(2) | -0.9(2) |
| C31 | $0.5725(4)$ | 0.1231 (3) | 0.2873 (4) | 2.4(2) | 2.4(2) | $3.6(2)$ | -0.2(1) | 1.3(1) | $0.2(1)$ |
| C32 | $0.6708(5)$ | 0.0889 (3) | 0.2227 (5) | $3.2(2)$ | 3.2(3) | -0.0(1) | -0.0(1) | 2.3(2) | $0.3(2)$ |
| C33 | $0.7852(5)$ | -0.0002(3) | $0.2754(6)$ | 3.4(2) | $3.7(2)$ | $8.0(4)$ | 0.4(2) | 3.1 (2) | -0.2(2) |
| C34 | $0.7952(6)$ | -0.0530(4) | $0.3886(7)$ | $4.0(3)$ | $3.7(2)$ | 8.8(4) | $1.0(2)$ | 1.6(3) | $1.7(2)$ |
| C35 | $0.6978(6)$ | -0.0186(4) | $0.4550(6)$ | 4.4(3) | $4.7(3)$ | $7.1(3)$ | $0.2(2)$ | 1.3(2) | $2.7(2)$ |
| C36 | 0.5823 (5) | $0.0697(3)$ | 0.4029(5) | 3.4(2) | 4.3(2) | $4.2(2)$ | $0.1(2)$ | 1.3(2) | $1.7(2)$ |
| C41 | 0.6251 (4) | 0.3171 (3) | $0.2919(4)$ | $2.3(2)$ | $2.5(2)$ | $3.4(2)$ | -0.5(1) | $1.2(1)$ | -0.2(1) |
| C42 | $0.6728(5)$ | 0.3545 (3) | 0.2059 (5) | $2.5(2)$ | 3.5(2) | $4.5(2)$ | -0.8(1) | $1.5(2)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| C43 | $0.8100(5)$ | $0.3586(3)$ | 0.2593 (5) | 3.3 (2) | $3.7(2)$ | 6.1 (3) | -1.3(2) | 2.6(2) | -0.4(2) |
| C44 | $0.8980(5)$ | 0.3268 (3) | $0.3955(6)$ | $2.7(2)$ | 4.3(2) | $6.3(3)$ | -1.5(2) | 1.8(2) | -1.3(2) |
| C45 | $0.8518(5)$ | $0.2899(4)$ | 0.4825 (5) | $2.5(2)$ | 4.5(2) | 5.4(3) | -0.6(2) | 0.7(2) | -0.7(2) |
| C46 | $0.7162(4)$ | 0.2844(3) | 0.4308(5) | 2.3 (2) | 3.6(2) | 3.6(2) | -0.7(1) | 0.9(1) | -0.1(1) |
| C5I | $0.3332(4)$ | 0.5021 (3) | 0.2073(4) | 2.6(2) | $2.5(2)$ | $2.8(2)$ | -0.7(1) | $1.3(1)$ | -0.0(1) |
| C52 | $0.2056(5)$ | 0.5684(3) | $0.1124(5)$ | $2.7(2)$ | $2.9(2)$ | $3.8(2)$ | -0.6(1) | $0.5(1)$ | $0.5(1)$ |
| C53 | $0.1866(5)$ | 0.6693 (3) | $0.1125(5)$ | $3.8(2)$ | $3.0(2)$ | $4.8(2)$ | -0.4(2) | 1.2(2) | 0.9(2) |
| C54 | $0.2876(5)$ | 0.7044 (3) | 0.2029(5) | $4.9(3)$ | $2.5(2)$ | 5.6(3) | -0.7(2) | 2.9 (2) | -0.1(2) |
| C55 | $0.4142(5)$ | 0.6396 (3) | 0.2983(5) | $3.5(2)$ | 3.7(2) | 5.4(3) | -1.6(2) | 2.2(2) | -0.9(2) |
| C56 | 0.4357 (4) | $0.5387(3)$ | $0.3008(5)$ | $2.5(2)$ | 3.1 (2) | 3.8(2) | $-1.1(1)$ | 1.1(1) | -0.6(1) |
| H\|A | 0.090 (5) | $0.472(3)$ | 0.425(5) | $7.1(14)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H1B | $0.256(6)$ | 0.490 (4) | $0.498(6)$ | 10.6(18) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H1C | $0.211(5)$ | 0.411 (3) | 0.558(5) | $7.5(14)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H11 | $0.535(5)$ | 0.263 (3) | -0.080(5) | $7.8(15)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H12 | $0.394(4)$ | 0.144 (3) | -0.101(4) | $4.7(12)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H13 | $0.137(5)$ | $0.237(3)$ | -0.120(5) | $6.3(13)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H14 | $0.083(6)$ | $0.429(4)$ | -0.129(6) | $9.7(18)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H15 | $0.368(5)$ | $0.435(3)$ | -0.094(5) | $8.1(15)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H22 | $0.083(4)$ | $0.234(3)$ | $0.268(4)$ | 5.4(12) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H23 | -0.033(4) | $0.118(3)$ | 0.234(4) | 5.6(12) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H24 | $0.078(6)$ | -0.049(4) | $0.136(6)$ | $8.9(18)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H25 | $0.276(5)$ | -0.076(3) | $0.073(5)$ | $6.7(14)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H26 | $0.383(5)$ | 0.049 (3) | $0.103(5)$ | $6.7(14)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H32 | $0.664(5)$ | $0.130(3)$ | $0.136(4)$ | $6.0(13)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H33 | $0.861(5)$ | -0.024(3) | 0.223(4) | 5.8(13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H34 | 0.881 (6) | -0.121(4) | $0.428(6)$ | 10.1(18) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H35 | 0.680 (6) | -0.064(4) | $0.522(6)$ | $11.0(20)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H36 | $0.524(4)$ | $0.094(3)$ | 0.476 (4) | 4.3(11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H42 | $0.612(4)$ | 0.374 (3) | $0.107(4)$ | 4.3(11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H43 | $0.830(5)$ | $0.379(3)$ | $0.188(5)$ | 6.5(14) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H44 | $0.997(4)$ | $0.335(3)$ | $0.439(4)$ | 4.8(11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H45 | 0.915 (8) | $0.273(5)$ | $0.572(8)$ | 16.0(29) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H46 | 0.671 (5) | $0.262(3)$ | 0.496 (5) | 6.4(13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H52 | $0.124(4)$ | 0.546 (3) | 0.050(4) | 4.1(11) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H53 | $0.093(4)$ | $0.713(3)$ | 0.0.53(4) | 5.8(13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H54 | 0.280 (5) | 0.771 (3) | 0.220 (5) | $6.3(13)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| H55 | $0.486(5)$ | 0.669 (3) | 0.373 (5) | 6.2(13) |  |  |  |  |  |
| H56 | $0.536(4)$ | $0.494(3)$ | 0.371 (4) | 5.2(12) |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ The temperature factors for heavier atoms are of the form $T=\exp \left[-1 / 4\left(B_{11} h^{2} a a^{* 2}+B_{22 k^{2}} b^{* 2}+B_{33} I^{2} c^{* 2}+2 B_{12} h k a^{*} b^{*}+2 B_{13} h l a * c^{*}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+2 B_{23} k l b^{*} c^{*}\right)\right]$, and those for the hydrogen atoms are $T=\exp \left[-B(\sin \theta / \lambda)^{2}\right]$.
heated with $l_{2}(0.37 \mathrm{~g} .1 .46 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . A similar workup gave 1 -ethoxy-2,3,4,5-tet raphenylphosphole oxide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$, $35 \%$ yield) together with the unreacted $\mathbf{4 c b}-2(184 \mathrm{mg})$, showing that
$\mathrm{l}_{2}$ is less effective for the cleavage. $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{NO}$ was found to be inactive.
10. Isomerization. Crystals of $\mathbf{4 c b - 1}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ were placed in a
capped flask and heated to $280-290^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , giving a dark red oil. After cooling, the red solid was dissolved in a small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and chromatographed on alumina. Elution with $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ followed by $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-ethyl acetate mixture gave the unchanged $\mathbf{4 c b - 1}$ (24 $\mathrm{mg})$ and the isomerization product $\mathbf{4 c b - 2}(8 \mathrm{mg}$, in a $16 \%$ conversion). The red oil obtained from $\mathbf{4 c b - 2}$ by similar treatment showed only the signals of $\mathbf{4 c b - 2}$ in the NMR spectrum and no signals attributable to the formation of any other $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ protons. Isomerizations of 4 ca- 1 and $4 \mathrm{cg}-1$ were similarly checked. No isomerization of $4 \mathrm{ch}-1$ was observed up to $310^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
11. Kinetic Procedures. The kinetics of the substitution reactions and of the conversion reactions were studied in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution using an NMR method for analysis. The extent of reaction was estimated from the signal heights of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ protons of the unreacted complex and of the product complex or complexes. For the substitution reaction runs, the starting triphenylphosphine complex was dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.3 mL ) by heating for a short time in an NMR tube fitted with a tightly fitting stopper and then adding the phosphite. After further addition of $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing tetramethylsilane to fix the total volume to 0.5 mL , the tube was sealed. For the conversion reaction runs, the phosphite complex and phosphite were placed in a tube and dissolved in $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ containing tetramethylsilane (total volume 0.5 mL ) and the tube was sealed. The rate measurements were carried out at the concentration range $0.10-0.15 \mathrm{M}$ of the starting complexes. The sealed tube was placed in the constant-temperature bath with a regulation of $\pm 0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using Shin Etsu Silicon KF-98 of 50 cS as a medium. The spectrum was recorded at suitable intervals on a Varian HA-100B spectrometer; the signal heights of the $\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ protons were recorded seven times and the five intermediate ones were averaged. The rate constant was computed by the method of least squares.
12. X-ray Study of 4ca-2. Crystals suitable for an X-ray analysis were grown from a $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$-hexane solution. The crystal used was columnar with dimensions $0.37 \times 0.09 \times 0.13 \mathrm{~mm}$ along the $a^{*}, b^{*}$, and $c^{*}$, respectively. Preliminary Weissenberg photographs showed that the crystal was triclinic of space group $P 1$ or $P \bar{l}$. The space group $P \bar{l}$ was confirmed by a successful refinement.

The unit cell constants of $a=10.737(6) \AA, b=14.413(5) \AA, c=$ 10.194 (3) $\AA, \alpha=94.48(3)^{\circ}, \beta=111.87(3)^{\circ}, \gamma=69.98(4)^{\circ}$ were determined from high-order reflections on a Rigaku four-circle automatic diffractometer. The unit cell volume is $1373.2 \AA^{3}$, yielding a calculated density of $1.351 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for $\mathrm{CoPO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{28}$ (mol wt 558.508 ) and $Z=2$. The experimental density as determined by flotation in an aqueous solution of K 1 was found to be $1.33 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The crystal was mounted such that the $a$ axis was nearly parallel to the $\phi$ axis of the diffractometer. The intensity data were collected by the $2 \theta / \omega$ scan method using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation monochromated by graphite crystal. A scan rate of $4^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ was used. Ten-second stationary background counts were taken at the lower and upper limits of each scan. Three standard reflections were monitored before every 100 measurements. A total of 4129 independent intensities in the range $6^{\circ} \leq 2 \theta<140^{\circ}$ were measured. The treatment of the intensity data has been previously described. ${ }^{26}$ The 3719 reflections for which $F_{0}$ $>3 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ were used in the solution and refinement of the structure. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects. The linear absorption coefficient of the compound for $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ was 62.06 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, and absorption correction was made. The calculated transmission factors varied from 0.776 to 0.887 .

The coordinates of the cobalt and phosphorus atoms were determined from a sharpened Patterson map. ${ }^{27}$ The subsequent structure factor and electron density map calculations revealed the positions of other atoms except hydrogens. Five cycles of a block-diagonal least-squares refinement of all the positional and isotropic thermal parameters resulted in the residual factors $R_{1}=\Sigma| | F_{0}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right| /$ $\Sigma\left|F_{0}\right|$ and $R_{2}=\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \Sigma w F_{0}^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$ of 10.7 and $11.7 \%$ using unit (equal) weight, respectively. Subsequent five cycles of a refinement involving anisotropic thermal parameters converged to $R_{1}=8.38$ and $R_{2}=8.25 \%$. At this stage a difference-Fourier synthesis revealed all of the 28 hydrogen atoms in the molecule. Six more cycles of a block-diagonal least-squares calculations completed the
refinement, in which the 28 hydrogen atoms with isotropic thermal parameters were included. This refinement converged to the lower residual factors $R_{1}=6.36$ and $R_{2}=5.39 \%$. In these refinements, the $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$ function was minimized, where the weight, $w$, was $1 / \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$. No unusual trends were observed in an analysis of $\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right.$ $\left.-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)^{2}$ as a function of either $\theta / \lambda$ or $\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|$. In the final cycles of a refinement, no positional parameter exhibited shifts of more than 0.50 and 0.58 times its standard deviation for nonhydrogen atoms and for hydrogen atoms, respectively. The standard deviation of an observation of unit weight, $\left[\Sigma w\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} /(m-n)\right]^{1 / 2}$, was 1.92 , where the number of reflections $(m)$ and refined parameters $(n)$ were 3719 and 455, respectively. Anomalous dispersion effects for Co and P were included in the calculation of $F_{c}$ using $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$ calculated by Cromer. ${ }^{28}$ The atomic scattering factors used were from the usual tabulation. ${ }^{29}$
A list of observed and calculated structure factor amplitudes is available. ${ }^{30}$ The final atomic coordinates and thermal parameters are listed in Table Vl, and the selected bond distances and bond angles are shown in Table VII.

Supplementary Material Available: A listing of the observed and calculated structure amplitudes for 4ca-2 (12 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.
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